

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,362	YOUNGBLOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RAMTIN KANGARLOO	2619	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) RAMTIN KANGARLOO.

(3) _____.

(2) Gary Stanford, Reg No. 35,689.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 16

Prior art documents discussed:

An agreement between the Applicant and the Examiner was reached to add the allowable combinational limitation via Examiner's Amendment to claim 16

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


CHIRAG G. SHAH

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)